United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

ORDER OF DETENTION UNITED STATES OF AMERICA PENDING TRIAL Andrew James Monroe Case Number: 1:05 Cr 218 In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case. Part I - Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a \square federal offense state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in A felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption. Alternate Findings (A) X (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committee an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act ☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebuttled the presumption established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternate Findings (B) (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community. Part II – Written Statement of Reasons for Detention I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence that defendant is an unemployed 22-year-old man with a history of drug abuse, alcohol abuse, and mental health problems. His criminal history includes a drug misdemeanor and a conviction in 2002 for maintaining a drug house. His bond was revoked for drug use. Although he received probation for this offense, defendant's compliance with probation was poor, as he repeatedly used drugs, absconded from a halfway house, and used alcohol as a minor. The Government contends that defendant engaged in the present conspiracy while on state probation. 18 USC sec 3142(g)(3)(B). Probation was revoked twice and defendant ultimately served a prison term. When officers appeared at defendant's residence with an arrest warrant on February 12, 2006, he held police off for a four-hour period. Part III - Directions Regarding Detention The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding. February 16, 2006 /s/ Joseph G. Scoville Date Signature of Judge Joseph G. Scoville, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge